1 2 3 4 5 6 7	ILA C. DEISS, NY SBN 3052909		
8	Attorneys for Defendants		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
12	JUN GAO,	) N. G.O. 7070 MMG	
13	Plaintiff,	) No. C 06-7879 MMC )	
14	v.	) PARTIES' JOINT REQUEST TO BE	
15 16 17 18	Department of Homeland Security; EMILIO T. GONZALEZ, Director, U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services; CHRISTINA POULOS, Director, California Service Center, U.S.C.I.S.; ROBERT S. MUELLER, Director of Federal Bureau of Investigation,	<ul> <li>EXEMPT FROM FORMAL ADR</li> <li>PROCESS; ORDER THEREON</li> </ul>	
20	Defendants.	) )	
21	Each of the undersigned certifies that he or she has read either the handbook entitled "Dispute		
22	Resolution Procedures in the Northern District of California," or the specified portions of the ADR		
23	Unit's Internet site < <u>www.adr.cand.uscourts.gov</u> >, discussed the available dispute resolution		
24	options provided by the court and private entities, and considered whether this case might benefit		
25	from any of them. Here, the parties agree that referral to a formal ADR process will not be		
26	beneficial because this mandamus action is limited to Plaintiff's request that this Court compel		
27	Defendants to act more expeditiously and adjudicate the application for adjustment of status.		
28	USCIS has already requested that the FBI expedite the name check so that the application may be		
	Motion to Dismiss		

1	processed as soon as possible. Given the substance of the action and the lack of any potential		
2	middle ground, ADR will only serve to multiply the proceedings and unnecessarily tax court		
3	resources. Accordingly, pursuant to ADR L.R. 3-3(c), the parties request the case be removed		
4	from the ADR Multi-Option Program and that they be excused from participating in the ADR		
5	phone conference and any further formal ADR process.		
6			
7	Dated: May 2, 2007 Respectfully submitted,		
8	SCOTT N. SCHOOLS		
9	United States Attorney		
10	ILA C. DEISS		
11	Assistant United States Attorney Attorneys for Defendants		
12	Treesineys for Bereilaunes		
13	Dated: May 2, 2007 /s/		
14	JUSTIN WANG Attorney for Plaintiff		
15			
16			
17	ORDER		
18	Pursuant to stipulation and to ADR L. R. 3-3(c), the parties are hereby removed from the ADR		
19	Multi-Option Program and are excused from participating in the ADR phone conference and any		
20	further formal ADR process.		
21	SO ORDERED.		
22			
23	Dated: May 4, 2007		
24	MAXINE M. CHESNEY United States District Judge		
25			
26			
27			
28			